

1234 45o 90o Imag. 135^o -45o -90o -135^o ⁰^o ¹⁸⁰ ⁺ ^o - Real 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 -4 -3 -2 -1 -1 -2 -3 -4 When overlaid such that they share a common origin and they are given the same scale, the rectangular and polar coordinate plots provide a **mapping** between complex numbers expressed in rectangular coordinates and complex numbers expressed in polar coordinates. **Rectangular** \leftrightarrow **Polar Coordinate Mapping**

Imaginary Real 1234 1 2 3 4 -4 -3 -2 -1 -1 -2 -3 -4 Z = 2 + j1.5 For example: $Z = 2 + j1.5$ The point $Z = 2 + j1.5$ can be shown on a rectangular coordinate plot. **Rectangular ← Polar Coordinate Mapping**

The Smith Chart Coordinate System

Shown to the right is a very simple version of only the impedance portion of a Smith Chart…

"simple" such that very few sets of points (circles and arcs) are displayed on the chart.

The **circles** and **arcs** provide a coordinate system that may be used to plot complex numbers expressed in rectangular form.

Basic Smith Chart

The Smith Chart Coordinate System

Sets of points with constant **real** values are defined by **circles** on the Smith Chart.

Note that only the circles relating to sets of points having non-negative real values are utilized since (passive) impedances are restricted to having non-negative resistances.

Real Values

The Smith Chart Coordinate System

Sets of points with the same **imaginary** value are defined by **arcs** on the Smith Chart.

Note that the set of points defined by a specific arc are a subset of the points that define a circle on which the points have a constant imaginary value, but with the points further constrained to have only non-negative real values.

Imaginary Values

Plotting an Impedance on a Smith Chart

But on the Smith Chart:

Set#1 is defined by a circle on which every point has a real value of "2"

Set#2 is defined by an arc on which every point has an imaginary value of "**1**"

The intersection of which is the point $\mathbf{Z} = 2 + j1$.

Real Circles and Imaginary Arcs

Real Imaginary 1 1 2 2 (Inductive Reactances) 3 3 2 4 Positive Imaginary Values -4 -3 (Capacitive Reactances) -2 Negative Imaginary Values -1 -4 -3 -2 -1 Positive Imaginary Values (Inductive Reactances) *<u>Eative Imaginary</u>* **Capacitive Reactan The Smith Chart Coordinate System Smith Charts vs. Rectangular Coordinate Plots Positive imaginary values** appear on the **top half of both plots** and **negative imaginary values** appear on the **bottom half of both plots**.

The Smith Chart Coordinate System

Smith Charts vs. Rectangular Coordinate Plots

On a rectangular coordinate plot, magnitudes of both the real and imaginary parts from $0 \rightarrow 1$ take up much less physical space than **magnitudes ranging from** $1 \rightarrow \infty$ **.**

But the Smith Chart tends to expand or spreadout impedances whose magnitudes range from $0 \rightarrow 1$ and compress those whose **magnitudes range from** $1 \rightarrow \infty$ **.**

For this reason, it is often easier to accurately plot/read small impedances on a Smith Chart.

A Smith Chart provides a **mapping** of:

Reflection Coefficient to *Load Impedance*

provided that the impedance plane is scaled such that its <u>origin</u> is equal to Z_o , the characteristic impedance of the line.

But, this would require a new Smith Chart for each type of line with a different

Smith Charts & Reflection Coefficients

$$
\Gamma_S \sum \left\{ \begin{array}{c}\nE_s^+ \\
\hline\nE_s^- \\
\hline\n\end{array}\right.\n\qquad \qquad \mathbf{Z}_0
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{E_R^+ \\ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{L}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}\nE_R^+ \\
\hline\n\end{array}\right.\n\qquad\n\left\{ \begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{Z}_R^+ \\
\hline\n\end{array}\
$$

Since the expression relating Z_R and Γ_R is in the same form as the expression relating Z_{in} and Γ_{in} :

$$
Z_R = Z_o \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_R}{1 - \Gamma_R} \qquad Z_{in} = Z_o \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_{in}}{1 - \Gamma_{in}}
$$

the mapping between impedance and reflection coefficient on a Smith Chart can also be applied to the input of a line.

Smith Charts & Reflection Coefficients

But, the key to preforming a Smith Chart analysis of a transmission line problem is the relationship between the reflection coefficient due to a load, Γ_R , and the reflection coefficient seen at the input of a line, Γ*in*:

$$
\Gamma_{in} = \Gamma_R \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \gamma \cdot L}
$$

Investigating $\Gamma_{in} = \Gamma_R \cdot e^{-2\gamma L}$ on a Lossless Line

If the angle θ_R is determined from the plot of the normalized load impedance, then the angle θ_{in} can be determined from:

$$
\theta_{in} = \theta_R - 2\beta L
$$

and the **position of** Γ _{*in*} will be located wherever an **angle-line relating to the angle** θ_{in} **crosses** the constant $|\Gamma|$ circle.

ZR Note that the magnitude change due to the attenuation constant *α* is independent of the angle change due to the phase constant *β*. **Investigating** $\Gamma_{in} = \Gamma_R \cdot e^{-2\gamma L}$ on a Lossy Line Γ_{in} = $\left|\Gamma_{R}\right|e^{-2\cdot\alpha\cdot L}$

 $\theta_{in} = \theta_{R} - 2\beta L$

This allows the two effects to be accounted for independently.